

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AVTAR SINGH KANG,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOLA PAROCUA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-00323-LDG (LRL)

**ORDER**


The plaintiff, Avtar Singh Kang, has filed a document moving for judgment (#4), judgment on the pleadings (#5), and summary judgment (#6). In addition, the document requests a hearing (#7).

Though Kang has not requested a default, his motions depend upon his assertion that he served the defendants and that the defendants subsequently failed to timely appear. The docket, however, lacks any proof of service of the summons and complaint on any defendant. Further, in his moving papers, Kang asserts that he served the defendants on October 31, 2007, more than two years before he filed his present complaint.

Accordingly, for good cause shown,

1 THE COURT **ORDERS** that Avtar Singh Kang's Motions for Judgment (#4), for  
2 Judgment on the Pleadings (#5), for Summary Judgment (#6), and for a Hearing (#7) are  
3 DENIED.

4  
5 DATED this 27 day of August, 2010.

6  
7   
8 Lloyd D. George  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26